

RECEIVED

DEC 23 1991

Federal Communications Commission  
Office of the Secretary

FCC MAIL SECTION

to: Donna R. Search <sup>DEC 23 9 11 AM '91</sup>  
Sec. F.C.C.  
1919 M<sup>st</sup>. N.W.  
Washington D.C. 20554

ORIGINAL  
FILE

from: Michael J. Monfils  
1145 10th Ave.  
Green Bay, WI 54304

reference: Docket RM 7869

I am in support of Docket RM 7869

Being an avid weak signal operator, I feel that 150khz, although small, would probably suffice. The weak signal portion would interpret to 5% of the entire 222mhz amateur band. If 100 people used the 222mhz band, I am sure that 5 out of those 100 would have a need for their fair share to operate and experiment without having a packet cluster, repeater or simplex fm operator unknowingly disrupt their efforts. On the other hand, the 222mhz repeater people don't need ssb or cw on or near their repeater inputs.

In reference to the novices obtaining the entire 222mhz band, I think it would be great! The novices could participate in some of the high tech activities found in weak signal work. One being, assembling a station where all station aspects are to their optimum. This would give excellent training for these people.

If the weak signal segment is to be smaller than 150khz, I am sure that weak signal work on 222mhz will cease to exist.

PLEASE SUPPORT RM 7869

Michael J. Monfils  
WD9EGE  
12/17/91

Donna R. Search  
3009A123

*Michael J. Monfils*

0+5